

## Quantum algorithms beyond the circuit model Quantum optimization - Quantum simulation



### Quantum algorithms beyond the circuit model **Quantum optimization** - Quantum simulation

## Quantum adiabatic theorem

"A physical system remains in its instantaneous eigenstate if a given perturbation is acting on it slowly enough and if there is a gap between the eigenvalue and the rest of the Hamiltonian's spectrum" Born-Fock (1928)

Schrodinger equation:

$$i\hbar \frac{d\psi(t)}{dt} = H(t)\psi(t)$$

Instantaneous eigenstates:

Initial condition:

$$H(t)\psi_n(t) = E_n(t)\psi_n(t)$$

 $\psi(t=0) = \psi_{n_0}$ 

If evolution time **slow enough** (condition, see later)  $\psi(t) \approx e^{i\theta(t)}\psi_{n_0}(t)$ 

https://ocw.mit.edu/courses/physics/8-06-quantum-physics-iii-spring-2018/lecture-notes/MIT8\_06S18ch6.pdf

#### **Example:**

Particle in a Harmonic trap



### Quantum annealing (B. Apolloni, N. Cesa Bianchi and D. De Falco (1988)

#### **Basic Idea:**

- 1) Encode the solution of a 'hard' computational problem as the ground state of a classical Hamiltonian
- 2) Prepare the ground-state physically via an adiabatic quantum machine
- 3) Extract the solution by a projective measurement

### **Example: Max-Cut**



#### **Problem:**

Given a graph, divide the nodes in two parts (white versus black), such as the number of edges between the two parts is maximal

**Application:** Group/Market opinions analysis, microelectronics

Complexity : NP-Hard

### Quantum annealing with Max-Cut



#### Step 1: Encoding

**Problem:** Given a graph, divide the nodes in two parts (white versus black), such as the number of edges between the two parts is maximal

 $\rightarrow$  The solution is the ground-state  $\psi_c$  of a classical Ising model

 $H_C = \sum_{(i,j)\in G} \sigma_i^z \sigma_j^z$ 

#### **Step 2: Adiabatic state preparation**

$$H(t) = \left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right) H_C + \left(1 - \frac{t}{\tau}\right) \sum_i \sigma_i^x$$

$$\psi(t=0) = |\downarrow_x, \dots, \downarrow_x\rangle$$
  
 $|\downarrow_x\rangle = |\uparrow\rangle - |\downarrow\rangle$ 

For an adiabatic ramp  $\psi(\tau) \approx \psi_c$ 

#### **Step 3: Readout**

### Quantum annealing with Max-Cut

1

0

2

Numerical illustration (with the Python toolbox QuTip)



# First steps towards quantum annealing

**2011:** D-wave quantum computing releases D-wave one with 128 qubits!





But.. can also 'simulate classically' the whole process via Quantum Monte Carlo Simulations..





# First steps towards quantum annealing

http://arxiv.org/abs/1512.02206  $\rightarrow$  Google responds with D-wave 2X...





D-wave is promising, but are there fundamental limitations...

# Limitation of quantum annealing: quantum-phase transitions



 $\rightarrow$  Performance of quantum annealing are governed by the size of the gap'

## Limitation of quantum annealing: quantum-phase transitions



First order phase transition: gaps closes exponentially with system size  $\rightarrow$  HARD PROBLEM Second-order phase transition: polynomial closure  $\rightarrow$  DOABLE

# Limitation of quantum annealing: quantum-phase transitions

#### **Current efforts:**

Solution (1) Try to avoid first order phase transitions



Solution (2) Go beyond abiabaticity: Quantum Approximate Optimization Algorithm (QAOA)

# The quantum approximate optimization algorithm (E. Farhi 2014)

Problem (ex: Maxcut)  $H_C$ 

Step 1: Build a candidate for the solution via a quantum device

 $\psi = \prod_{j=1}^{\eta} e^{i\alpha_j H_C} e^{i\beta_j \sum_l \sigma_l^x} \left| 0000000 \right\rangle$ 

Step 2: Measure the cost-function  $\left<\psi\right|H_c\left|\psi\right>$ 

Step 3: Feed the result into a classical optimization algorithm and try Step 1 with new parameters  $(\alpha_j, \beta_j)$ 



The quantum device is used for 'fast' evaluation of a cost function, exploring many quantum paths

# The quantum approximate optimization algorithm (E. Farhi 2014)



Example: Rigetti https://arxiv.org/pdf/1712.05771.pdf



Quantum annealing → QAOA: Promising for classical problems (ex: Max-Cut) Can we solve quantum problems?

## Solving quantum problems with quantum computers

Nature Communications volume 5, Article number: 4213 (2014)

#### 1) Quantum Chemistry

Goal: Find Ground-State configuration of a molecule

$$\mathcal{H}(R) = \sum_{pq} h_{pq}(R) \hat{a}_p^{\dagger} \hat{a}_q + \sum_{pqrs} h_{pqrs}(R) \hat{a}_p^{\dagger} \hat{a}_q^{\dagger} \hat{a}_r \hat{a}_s$$

Electron creation operator

$$h_{pq} = \int dr \ \chi_p(r)^* \left( -\frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 - \sum_{\alpha} \frac{Z_{\alpha}}{|r_{\alpha} - r|} \right) \chi_q(r)$$
(2)
$$h_{pqrs} = \int dr_1 \ dr_2 \ \frac{\chi_p(r_1)^* \chi_q(r_2)^* \chi_r(r_1) \chi_s(r_2)}{|r_1 - r_2|}$$
(3) Coulomb-term

# Solving quantum problems with quantum computers

**Translating quantum chemistry for quantum computers** 

Nature Communications volume 5, Article number: 4213 (2014)

$$\mathcal{H}(R) = \sum_{pq} h_{pq}(R) \hat{a}_p^{\dagger} \hat{a}_q + \sum_{pqrs} h_{pqrs}(R) \hat{a}_p^{\dagger} \hat{a}_q^{\dagger} \hat{a}_r \hat{a}_s$$

Step 1: Expression the Hamiltonian has a qubit Hamiltonian: Jordan-Wigner Transformation

$$\hat{a}_{j} \to I^{\otimes j-1} \otimes \sigma_{+} \otimes \sigma_{z}^{\otimes N-j}$$
$$\hat{a}_{j}^{\dagger} \to I^{\otimes j-1} \otimes \sigma_{-} \otimes \sigma_{z}^{\otimes N-j}$$

**Step 2:** Find the ground-state by quantum-approximate-optimization-algorithm (In this context, it's called **Variational Quantum Eigensolver (VQE)** 

# Solving quantum problems with quantum computers

### Illustration with Google's Sycamore

https://arxiv.org/pdf/2004.04174.pdf



# Conclusions on quantum optimization

	Quantum annealing	Quantum Approximate- Optimization Algorithm	Variational Quantum Eigensolver
Typical Problem:	Classical	Classical	Quantum
Limitations:	Scaling of the Gap	?	?

.

#### Can we solve other quantum problems?



## Quantum algorithms beyond the circuit model Quantum optimization - **Quantum simulation**

## Quantum Simulators

A quantum machine that could imitate any quantum system, including the physical world



Richard Feynman

**Quantum Simulation:** Simulation of real quantum systems by implementing the relevant Hamiltonian (in contrast to VQE)

Applications : High-Tc superconductivity, High-energy Physics, Frustrated magnetism, Topological materials, etc

## **Quantum Simulators**

First approach: Analog quantum simulation

→ Physically realize a Hamiltonian (without a quantum computer)

#### **Example: Hubbard Model**

$$\hat{H} = -t\sum_{i,\sigma}\left(\hat{c}^{\dagger}_{i,\sigma}\hat{c}_{i+1,\sigma}+\hat{c}^{\dagger}_{i+1,\sigma}\hat{c}_{i,\sigma}
ight)+U\sum_{i}\hat{n}_{i\uparrow}\hat{n}_{i\downarrow},$$

- Model dynamics of valence electrons in solids
- Cannot be solved numerically in many cases
- Candidate to explain high-Tc superconductivity



## **Quantum Simulators**

Solving the Hubbard Model via analog quantum simulation with ultra-cold atoms

$$\hat{H} = -t\sum_{i,\sigma}\left(\hat{c}^{\dagger}_{i,\sigma}\hat{c}_{i+1,\sigma}+\hat{c}^{\dagger}_{i+1,\sigma}\hat{c}_{i,\sigma}
ight)+U\sum_{i}\hat{n}_{i\uparrow}\hat{n}_{i\downarrow},$$

Idea: Map electrons  $\rightarrow$  Fermionic ultracold atoms (ex Li<sup>6</sup>)

Laser cooling  $\rightarrow$  Quantum degenerate Fermi Gas Laser trapping  $\rightarrow$  Optical lattice Interactions  $\rightarrow$  Atomic collisions Readout  $\rightarrow$  Microscope

Result match, *so far,* with theory **without need for quantum error correction** 

The Hubbard Model Spin Correlation Function Entropy Redistribution DMD Strange Metal Anticonfinina Potentia 650nm Imaging 671nm Dichroic Dopina Long-range Antiferromagnet Sample (Ω) Reservoi 0.2 1)<sup>1</sup> Cd Spin Removal M. Greiner's Lab (Harvard)

Physical Review Letters 81 (15), 3108 (1998)

## Quantum Simulators via Quantum Computers: Digital Quantum Simulation

**Step 1:** Mapping to a qubit Hamiltonian H (ex: Fermi-Hubbard  $\rightarrow$  Spin via Jordan-Wigner transformation)

Step 2: 'Trotterized' time-evolution via qubit gates

$$H = h \sum_{i} \sigma_{i}^{x} + J \sum_{i} \sigma_{i}^{z} \sigma_{i+1}^{z}$$
 (Transverse Ising model)  
$$\frac{H_{1}}{H_{1}} = \frac{H_{2}}{H_{2}}$$

► Suzuki-Trotter expansion  $\psi(t) = e^{-iHt}\psi(0)$  $\psi(t) \approx \left(e^{-iH_1(t/n)}e^{-iH_2(t/n)}\right)^n\psi(0)$  Error for a finite n (Lloyd, 1996)

$$\mathcal{E} \sim \frac{t^2}{n} ||[H_1, H_2]||$$

Requires polynomial time

### Quantum Simulators via Quantum Computers: Digital Quantum Simulation

$$H = h \sum_{i} \sigma_{i}^{x} + J \sum_{i} \sigma_{i}^{z} \sigma_{i+1}^{z} \longrightarrow \psi(t) \approx \left( e^{-iH_{1}(t/n)} e^{-iH_{2}(t/n)} \right)^{n} \psi(0)$$
  
$$\frac{H_{1}}{H_{1}} = \frac{1}{H_{2}} = \frac{1}{H_{$$



Sci. Adv.2019;5

## Experimental demonstration with trapped ions (Lanyon 2011)



## Quantum Simulation of highenergy physics phenomena

Illustration:

Nature volume 534, pages516–519(2016)



Swinger Model (1 dimensional quantum-electro dynamics)

$$\hat{H}_{\text{lat}} = -iw \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} [\hat{\Phi}_n^{\dagger} e^{i\hat{\theta}_n} \hat{\Phi}_{n+1} - \text{h.c.}] + J \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \hat{L}_n^2 + m \sum_{n=1}^{N} (-1)^n \hat{\Phi}_n^{\dagger} \hat{\Phi}_n$$



Kogut–Susskind fermions

## Summary: Quantum algorithms

- **Quantum computers do exist** and implement quantum circuits, following the dream of Feynman
- There are **quantum algorithms** that offer quantum speedup (Grover, Shor, etc)
- Quantum error correction: conceptually `solved', technical challenges
- New quantum algorithms that do not rely necessarily on quantum error correction: **Quantum optimization/Quantum simulation** 
  - → Quantum information meets many-body physics!

#### A very active research field, many developments expected in the next year! (talents needed)



